

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Aniruddha RANGNEKAR et al.

U.S. Serial No: Not Yet Assigned

Group Art Unit: Not Yet Assigned

Filed: Herewith

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

For: ROUTING PROTOCOL SELECTION FOR AN AD HOC NETWORK



**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.56 AND §1.97**

Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Submitted herewith are copies of the documents listed on the attached PTO-1449 for the Examiner to consider and indicate that they were considered by making appropriate notations on the PTO-1449.

1. ☒ This information disclosure statement is being filed:
 - ☒ With this application
 - ☐ Within three months of the filing date of this application
 - ☐ Within three months of the date of entry of the national stage in an international application
 - ☐ Before the mailing date of a first office action on the merits for this application.

2. ☒ Copies of the listed documents:
 - ☒ Are attached (2 documents)
 - ☐ Were previously submitted in a prior application, serial no. _____, filed _____, upon which applicant relies for the benefits provided in 35 U.S.C. §120.

3. ☐ Non-English language documents are attached:
 - ☐ The following is a concise statement of relevance of the non-English language documents:
 - ☐ English translations of the non-English documents are enclosed
 - ☐ In lieu of a statement of relevance or translation of the non-English documents, an English language version of a search report from the

_____ Patent Office in a corresponding application citing these documents and setting forth their relevance is enclosed.

- ☐ Applicant submits an English language abstract attached to _____. The relevance of this document is also discussed at page _____ of the specification of the present application.
- ☐ In lieu of a statement of relevance or translation of the listed non-English language documents, an English language abstract setting forth the relevance for each listed non-English language document is enclosed.

This submission does not represent that a search has been made or that no better art exists and does not constitute an admission that each or all of the listed documents are material or constitute "prior art." If the Examiner applies any of the documents as prior art against any claim in the application and applicant determines that the cited documents do not constitute "prior art" under United States law, applicant reserves the right to present to the office the relevant facts and law regarding the appropriate status of such documents.

Applicant further reserves the right to take appropriate action to establish the patentability of the disclosed invention over the listed documents, should one or more of the documents be applied against the claims of the present application.

Please charge any fees due in connection with the filing of this statement or credit any overpayments to undersigned's Deposit Account No.50-1656.

Respectfully submitted,

WILMER, CUTLER & PICKERING

Dated: December 28, 2001

John W. Ryan
John W. Ryan
Reg. No. 33,771

Ashok Mannava
Reg. No. 45,301

Wilmer Cutler & Pickering
2445 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-1420
202-663-6000
202-663-6363 (facsimile)